LEGISLATION

ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 331-175.08F(1), DOZ STREET TYPE STANDARDS, AND SECTION 331-175.11E(5), ACTIVE EDGE FRONTAGE REQUIREMENTS, OF CHAPTER 331, ZONING, OF THE CODE OF THE CITY OF NEW ROCHELLE.

BE IT ORDAINED by the City of New Rochelle:

Section 1. Section 331-175.08F(1), DOZ Street Type Standards, of the New Rochelle Zoning Code, is hereby amended as follows:

§ 331-175.08F(1). DOZ Street Type Standards

- (c) Those streets designated as "Storefront Required" or "Frequent Entryways Required" on the DOZ Street Types Map establish a maximum door entryway separation measured in feet along the Build-To-Line as shown in 175.08F(2) and required in Section 175.11E(5). See 175.11E(5)(e) and (f) for conditions that permit greater maximum door separations.
- Section 2. Section 331-175.11E(5), Active Edge Frontage Requirements, of the New Rochelle Zoning Code, is hereby amended as follows:
- § 331-175.11E(5), Active Edge Frontage Requirements.
- Place of Public Assembly Standard: Where a site requires either Frequent Entryways or Storefronts, and where a ground floor place of public assembly is included, the architectural design for the frontage of the building occupied by that use shall provide frequent entryways no further than 100 feet from the end of the building at the Street Wall and the edge of each entryway shall be no greater than 100 feet from a consecutive entryway as measured along the Build-To-Line.

Matter [bracketed] deleted. Matter <u>underlined</u> added.

ATTACHMENTS:

- 1. Frequent Entryways EAF
- 2. #93 Positive Recommendation DOZ Code Amendments SIGNED

Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project Information

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information			
and Matter			
Name of Action or Project:			
Amendment to the Downtown Overlay Zone			
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):			
City of New Rochelle			
Brief Description of Proposed Action:			
The City of New Rochelle has proposed a zoning code amendments to the frequent entryway requirements of the Downtown Overlay Zone. The draft zoning language is attached. Any development that may occur as a result of this zoning will be subject to further review under SEQRA, and will also be subject to all other pertinent requirements in the zoning code.			
Name of Applicant or Sponsor:	Telephone:		
City of New Rochelle City Council	E-Mail:		
Address:	E-Mail:		
515 North Avenue	•		
City/PO:	- Contract - I		
New Rochelle		Zip Code: 0801	
Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, loadministrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to the proposed action are the proposed action and may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2.	ocal law, ordinance, the environmental resources that question 2.	NO YES	
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any of If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:	other governmental Agency?	NO YES	
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?	acres acres acres		
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action. Urban Rural (non-agriculture) Industrial Commer Forest Agriculture Aquatic Other (sparkland))	

UDMENT TO DOMINITOIAIN OVER A V JOHN T
ROPOSFD AMF
:AF (2018-182 : PF
ttachment: Frequent Entryways EA

5. Is the proposed action, NO	YES	N/A
a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?		
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?	╁╞╡	
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural	NO	YES
landscape?		
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area? If Yes, identify:	NO	YES
in res, identity.		
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?	NO	YES
h A		
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?		
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed action?		
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements? If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:	NO	YES
	П	
10 Will 4		
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?	NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing potable water:		
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?	NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:		
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic	NO	YES
Places?	\sqcap	П
b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?	H	
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?	NO	YES
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?	$\perp \downarrow$	$\sqcup \sqcup$
If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:		
14 Identify the trained behisted and the second sec		
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that ar Shoreline Forest Agricultural/grasslands Early mid-successional	pply:	
☐ Wetland ☐ Urban ☐ Suburban		
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed	NO	YES
by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?		
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?	NO	YES
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?		
If Yes,	NO	YES
a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?		니ㅣ
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)? If Yes, briefly describe: NO YES		

,	*		
	1	8	.a

water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?	NO	YES
If Yes, explain purpose and size:	1	
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?	NO	YES
If Yes, describe:		
20. Has the site of the proposed action and the site of the site o		
20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste?	NO	YES
If Yes, describe:		
		Ш
I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION		
I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE I KNOWLEDGE	BEST O	FMY
Applicant/sponsor pame: City of New Rochelle City Council Date: 7/06/18		
Signature: Main Main Bate: 100/18		

Attachment: Frequent Entryways EAF (2018-182 : PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO NOWNTOWN OVED! AV JONIE | EBEALITY FILTER WAS

1	R	9

Agency Use Only [If applicable]		
Project: Date:		
Date:		

Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Impact Assessment

Part 2 is to be completed by the Lead Agency.

Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"

1.	Will the assessed as the second section of the sect	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
1.	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	V	
2.	Proposed action result in a change in the use of intensity of use of land?	V	
3.	Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?	V	
4.	Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?	7	
5.	Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?	V	
6.	Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?	V	
7.	Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies?	V	
	b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?	\checkmark	
8.	Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?	V	
	Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?	V	
10.	Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?	7	
11.	Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?	7	

PRINT FORM

Page 1 of 2

Attachment: Frequent Entryways EAF (2018-182 : PROPOSED AMFNDMENT ל האוארואואורות רוד אואפר אי ופבאיר Packet Pg. 73

	_	
-	•	_

Agen	cy Use Only [If applicable]
Project:	
Date:	

Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 Determination of Significance

For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

As shown in Parts I and II of this Environmental Assessment Form (EAF), the proposed zoning code amendment will result in no significant impact to the environment. Any development that may result from this change will be subject to further review under SEQR, and will also be subject to all other zoning code parameters that seek to protect adjoining properties from new development.

Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.				
Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.				
City of New Rochelle City Council	7/06/18			
Name of Lead Agercy	Date			
Luiz Aragon	Commissioner of Development			
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Title of Responsible Officer			
	16:116			
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)			
PRINT FORM Page	2 of 2			

CITY OF NEW ROCHELLE, NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF DEVELOPMENT PLANNING BOARD

Members Present:

Brown, Dodds-Brown, Lipow, Mercado, Pitocchi, Smith

Members Absent:

Contreras

Introduced:

July 24, 2018

Adopted: Moved By:

July 24, 2018

Seconded By:

Lipow Pitocchi .

Abstained:

None

Opposed:

None

Passed:

Unanimously

Positive Recommendation **DOZ Code Amendments**

RESOLUTION NO. 93-2018

WHEREAS, the Board received a referral from City Council regarding a zoning code amendment, pertaining to frequent entryways in the Downtown Overlay Zone; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Board finds the proposed amendment to be consistent with the goals and objectives of the comprehensive plan. Now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, the New Rochelle Planning Board hereby gives a positive recommendation on the proposed amendment.

Dated: July 31 , 2018

Max E. Schwartz, Planning Board Clerk

Filed City Clerk's Office July 3/, 2018

Interim City Clerk