

HARRISBURG CITY COUNCIL
LEGISLATIVE SESSION MINUTES
Tuesday, July 7, 2020

A G E N D A

CALL TO ORDER

- President Williams called the meeting to order at 7:49PM.

ROLL CALL

- The City Clerk called the roll and all 7 members were present during roll call, namely: Ben Allatt, Danielle Bowers, Shamaine Daniels, Ausha Green, Dave Madsen, Westburn Majors and Wanda R. D. Williams.
- President Williams announced that an Executive Session was held on Monday, July 6, 2020 at 5:45PM to discuss legal issues.

INVOCATION/MOMENT OF SILENCE

- The Moment of Silence was led by Ms. Bowers.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

- The Pledge of Allegiance was led by Mr. Madsen.

COMMUNICATIONS

- There was none.

COURTESY OF THE FLOOR

- Christine Proctor submitted the following statement on behalf of the Environmental Advisory Council: The tumultuous events of this past year have brought to light the strong undercurrents of institutional and individual racism in this country. The confluence of the COVID 19 pandemic, a severe economic repression, the public awakening to systemic police brutality in predominantly black communities have unleashed a wave of protests and demands for change. The names of George Floyd, Breonna Taylor, Atatiana Jefferson, Aura Rosser, Stephon Clark, Trayvon Martin, Freddie Gray... are people that should not have lost their lives through unnecessary force and violence by the hands of the police.

This is a time to reflect on not only the silence and inaction that has plagued this country for decades and the devastating loss of life from this inaction, but also a time to reimagine our communities and call for systemic change. The Environmental Advisory Council (EAC) of the City of Harrisburg acknowledges that our communities of color have suffered far too long under excessive police force. Feeling safe within our neighborhoods is a human right. We also acknowledge that it is a human right to have access to healthy affordable food, clean air and water, and quality education.

Within the City of Harrisburg, we have neighborhoods that exist without these rights; Neighborhoods with “food deserts”; neighborhoods without access to efficient, reliable, public transportation; neighborhoods exposed to high levels of air pollution; and schools lacking basic resources. There is no mistake that the death of George Floyd is symbolic of a system that keeps its knee on the necks of communities of color that struggle to live. We recognize that this is an opportunity to lift the knee and imagine a City that has healthy affordable food in all neighborhoods, safe, efficient, reliable transportation in all neighborhoods, quality education for all youth.

We invite our City to think of solutions, to reimagine our communities, to be a leader in change, reform, and creativity. To create something different that others’ want to exemplify, to follow, to become a leader in the nation and show how small cities can provide solutions. To not look elsewhere but within ourselves for the answers. It will require courage, leadership, and heart. But, there are answers, there are solutions. We have them within us waiting to be uncovered and given light.

- Statement below submitted by: Melissa Hunsberger, Jane Block, Erin O’dea, Bran Bansom, Lauren Fisher, Leslie Hall, Steven Williams, Liz Herman, Danielle Holt, Meghan Weaver, Amie Ennist, Andrey Romanov, Zach Corbin, Andy Hughes, Anne Leonhart, Elizabeth Curtis, Angel Fox, Tessa Flanagan, Brian Mathers, Erica Eisenacher, Sean Brandon, LaVonne Eby, Louie Marven, Jen Bender, Mike Alexander, Kirsten Moe, Ilyse Kazar, Christina Kostelecky, Autumn Anderson, Marissa Itterly, William Hicklin, Jayne Buchwach, Ana White, Jennifer Hartman, Kimeka Campbell, Alex Shirreffs and Rachelee Peacock. We write in an effort to expand on recent concerns heard from council and community members regarding the Community Advisory Committee Proposal. The proposal outlined continues to highlight police as the decision makers when dealing with community safety. This reflects a system that does not show citizens at the top of the organizational chart. As the leaders of our community, citizens are at the forefront and as such should be given more than simple allowances in advisory committees. Talks should continue on how to best provide official authority to the citizens through forming a Community Review Board. Nothing less will suffice in ensuring the appropriate and thorough oversight of the law enforcement community. An advisory board that exists solely to communicate concerns, without any subpoena power to enact change is one that exists to pacify the community, not empower it.

The Right to Know Requests serve as a good tool for seeking information as community members. However, it does not provide the full scope of documentation necessary to assess any wrongdoing from the police bureau. Administrative subpoena power is needed within a Community Review Board, similar to the administrative subpoena power allowed through the Tax Board and Zoning Hearing Board, both of whom, as stated by Grover, hold administrative subpoena power to ensure that ethical procedures are followed.

Previous conversations pinpoint the prohibition of such subpoena power based on State legislation. We further identify, if that be the case, for the Criminal Information Act, which would prohibit sharing, to be identified in the proposal to indicate any amendments and referendum needed to address this issue. This action has shown itself to be effective for other Citizens Review Boards in other 3rd class cities. Once provided subpoena power, citizens also believe that appropriate advocacy and support should come from the Mayor and all judiciary entities to ensure it is properly enforced.

As it stands, the current language of the Advisory Committee proposal asks for its members to serve as the ‘fundamental resource that assists the Bureau of Police in their mission’. The entire advisory committee proposal is centered around language for how the police can be best supported, furthering the narrative that police are at the moral center of the issue. The issues that prompt our demands for a Community Policing Board are driven by noticeable trends in police abuse of power, bias, and systemic racism which continues to show itself through actions in law enforcement--right here in Harrisburg. The goal of this board is not for the community to find ways to improve the relationships with law enforcement, it is to restore residents to their rightful place in the city organizational chart, and create a real accountability structure for the police bureau to the community by the community. The wording under the proposal’s ‘intent and purposes’ highlights this distortion in purpose.

Committee appointment should also highlight the citizens right to have more of a voice in selecting committee members. Appointment for anything that directly impacts the community should show more stakeholders at the community level and should maintain power in selection and appointment from the community as well. Membership qualifications listed in the proposal also pinpoint lack of cultural competence in language and intent.

The emphasis for inclusion into the committee identifies strong demonstrable relationships with law enforcement. The committee is not responsible to serve as a liaison and promote productive law enforcement and community interaction, as stated, but to be its own entity, serving to compile, identify, and investigate instances of police misconduct and abuse of power to hold the police department accountable. The proposal as outlined, serves more as an advocacy committee, serving the needs of the bureau, rather than an accountability committee, serving the needs of residents.

- Alexandra Shirreffs submitted the following statement: I submitted a letter in support of the concerns that have been put forward by the Young Professionals of Color - Greater Harrisburg. While I, unfortunately, missed the 4pm deadline to submit my personal concerns for public comment, I hope that my thoughts will still be considered as you deliberate Bill 8-2020. While I am in support of creating a citizen's committee to provide a mechanism for community members to have a voice in the oversight of the Harrisburg Bureau of Police, I would like to see Council consider revisions to the current Bill. 1) I would like to see language included in the bill that centers this committee more around the needs of the community as opposed to the needs of the police. I think you can start by naming it a Citizen's Law Enforcement Review Committee. Naming it a review committee, as opposed to an advisory committee, sends an important message that this group will play an active role in holding police accountable for serving the community and to prevent them from harming the community. Similarly, how come there is no language that gives this committee authority to hold police accountable for trends in abuse of power, misconduct, or unethical practice? The name of this eventual committee and its functions reflect your end goal. My question for you to answer is whether that is to pacify the community or empower it with this committee? Given that the current language of your proposal asks for its members to serve as the 'fundamental resource that assists the Bureau of Police in their mission', it appears that your current plan aims to pacify. My hope is that the final bill moves towards empowerment. 2) Along those lines of empowerment, the process of selecting committee members should be transparent and done in collaboration with the community. Community members should have an opportunity to weigh in on who will represent them to ensure that the committee is not just made up of people who are politically connected. I would love to see efforts made to include people who have been involved with the criminal justice system on this committee, as their experience with law enforcement could provide a valuable perspective. I agree with the concerns raised by the Young Professionals of Color - Greater Harrisburg that membership qualifications currently listed in the proposal also pinpoint lack of cultural competence in language and intent. For example, if the committee members will be required to undergo an orientation and training from police, I think it is also fair to expect that some sort of racial justice training also be provided to ensure all committee members have an understanding of historic challenges that exist between police and Black communities, as well as other marginalized communities. 3) I think additional research could be done to craft a bill that addresses the concerns that have been raised about the oversight ability this committee will - or will not - have. As a public health professional who used to run a community health coalition, I know that transparent information is essential to empower communities to be engaged and take-action. Harrisburg's review committee must be able to access data that will ideally then drive their actions. I think steps can be taken before this group begins its work to ensure a meaningful plan of action: Compile additional research of similar-sized cities (both within and outside of Pennsylvania) and compile a menu of best practices for Harrisburg's consideration in the development of a review committee (what data can they access; what is their role in taking action when patterns of misconduct are identified; what are some community-oriented - not police-oriented - accomplishments that Harrisburg's committee could aim for?);

- Grant administrative subpoena power to ensure committee members will have access to data that will enable them to hold police accountable for following ethical procedures in their job as public servants; Safely conduct town halls (which I am happy to hear is already being planned) in advance of a vote on the Bill to collect additional feedback to ensure this committee's goals and functions are aligned with the needs and wants of Harrisburg residents. I hope the end result of your efforts result in a committee that will hold the Harrisburg police accountable to their mission of protecting and serving their community and give residents a voice that helps shape what policing looks like in our community.
- Jayne Buchwach said she is requesting that you vote affirmative on the above resolutions. I also ask you to consider to imagine this EAC program beyond a summer program. As you are aware, our students have lost much in the past years, including pathways to success. This particular program can and should be an on-going program to the benefit of students and community.
- Liam Hicklin submitted the following statement: I want to express my disappointment in what came across as an attempt to dismiss the effort of the residents of Harrisburg to create a civilian review board of the Harrisburg Police Department. It seems like the city solicitor has a stake in proposition 8-2020 passing as it stands, with no amendments, and to deny a civilian review board the power of administrative subpoena. Mr. Grover went through great lengths to cite various reasons that subpoena power is not viable, using vague language, and without giving specific examples of why the reasons he cited held any weight. I'm not satisfied that we're getting the full picture, or that steps cannot be taken to create a board that will have investigative powers in order to hold the Harrisburg Police Department accountable to its citizens.

What is obvious is that as it stands, proposition 8-2020 only serves to form a committee dedicated to instruct civilians who live in Harrisburg about the vital work the Harrisburg Police Department does for the community, while sidestepping our very real demand that the police department be held liable for its actions. Rather than accepting the solicitor's assertions that the citizens of Harrisburg are limited in steps we can take to hold the police accountable, we would like to continue to dialogue about how best to form a committee that serves the best interests of the residents, rather than one that serves to promote the interests of the police department.

If it is true, as stated in line 15 of the proposed bill, that the police department already has a vested interest in working in partnership with the community, the Harrisburg Police Department should recognize that it cannot foster a positive relationship if it is unwilling to be held accountable to the people it is sworn to serve and protect. Asserting that a review board cannot have administrative subpoena power or independent investigatory powers, without stating in clear, plain language why the city does not have the power to grant them, gives us the impression that the city is attempting to hide the truth from the residents. And it brings a question to mind: if other third-class cities in Pennsylvania have created review boards with investigative and subpoena powers, why can't Harrisburg? Obviously, the creation of a review board will take time, effort, and research. We're not willing to accept proposition 8-2020 until time has been put into amending this bill so that it creates an oversight committee that does more than communicate the police's perspective to the people, and that "advises" the police department with no guarantee that advice will be taken. It is imperative that we not rush this, but get this right.

- Yvette Williams submitted that she lives in the Hill area on Boas Street. She submitted a video to City Council and spoke in opposition to the fireworks within the city that caused damage in her neighborhood.
- Christina Kostelecky submitted the following statement: On June 4, 2020, several members of Harrisburg City Council took a knee in front of the municipal building as a sign of solidarity with the community to condemn systemic racism. I now ask that you take a stand by voting for subpoena power for the proposed Citizens Law Enforcement Advisory Committee.

As Bill 8-2020 proposes, the Council's desire is to "promote community confidence in the Bureau of Police and the law enforcement officers that serve our community".

I, along with most other residents in Harrisburg, will *only* have increased confidence in that relationship when Harrisburg City Council takes bold, definitive action that will hold officers accountable. Clearly established laws, including Mayor Papenfuse's proposed "8 Can't Wait", have no bearing on systemic racism so long as officers continue to benefit from qualified immunity. A Citizen Review Board with subpoena power will enact change.

Put simply, Harrisburg is not interested in placating our police, our mayor, or ourselves. We're not asking for yet another committee without teeth, or a set of performance allyship laws which make some people "feel better", but quickly return the system to the status quo. We are asking for you to make a move that's bigger than your peer communities, that's harder to do, and may require immense effort on your part.

The benefits are well worth the cost. One in every 1,000 black men can expect to be killed by police. According to Department of Justice's 2019 report, when police initiate contact, blacks and Hispanics are more likely to experience the threat or use of physical force than white people. Even the Police Executive Research Forum acknowledges that use of force is the greatest "consequence to the policing profession. You took a knee, attended protests, and set up the outline for the citizen committee because you already know these facts. We cannot sit by idly, knowing that there's more that can be done today.

All residents deserve to be among the representation to investigate instances of police misconduct and abuse of power to hold the police department accountable. The purpose of the committee should be to make "Harrisburg a safe place for each and every person", rather than a performance of "positive relationships" when residents are threatened by the very individuals sworn to protect them.

There is not one person who deserves to die at the hands of police. Therefore, there is not one police officer who should receive qualified immunity when laws exist to eliminate preventable deaths of our residents.

- Ilyse Kazar submitted the following statement: While I thank the Council for quickly setting in motion the process of creating a citizens' committee to oversee policing in Harrisburg, I feel that Bill No. 8-2020 is a rushed product that falls far short of the mark. Let's do this, but let's do this right; otherwise, we are wasting time on a placating gesture that will not effectuate real change.

First of all, beginning with the very title "Citizens Law Enforcement Advisory Committee" the bill negates the role we need the Committee to serve. What citizens have asked for is an Oversight Committee.

Here are some additions and corrections --

Citizens seek a Committee that will have the teeth to deal with use of force issues, misconduct, over-policing, under-policing, and general issues of equity in the way Harrisburg's police force protects and serves us, and is accountable to us. We want a Committee with the power -- including designated processes supported and backed by the Council -- to obtain whatever information is needed to get to the root of anything the Committee investigates. The bill gives only duties and no power to the Committee. The bill needs to be rewritten to incorporate actual powers of oversight, and to re-center the relationship so that police are accountable to citizens.

The bill should place responsibility on the City to provide resources and support that are necessary for the Committee to conduct its work -- including such things as technical infrastructure, communications platforms, a contact form on the city's website, etc.

The bill should also describe what the Police Department is responsible for, since it is the police who work for the citizens and not the other way around. The bill should require a prompt fulfillment of Committee requests for meetings, data, records, responses to inquiries, and other information. The bill mentions training and ride-alongs, but it should

require the Police Department to have these resources developed within a given time frame, and periodically to review and update training templates and its policies for its interactions with the Committee. In general, the PD should take the Committee seriously and the language in the bill needs to make this relationship clear.

Continuing public update and feedback cycles are needed, as ideas are considered and components of the bill are further developed. We need a commitment from the Public Safety Chairperson to set dates for open forums -- including pandemic-conscious, in-person meeting space as well as participation via video and phone.

The goal of forming this committee is to foster public safety and public confidence in the police force. Trust is indisputably the foundation for improving these aspects of our City. Unfortunately, this bill is weak, puts citizens in service to the police, and will do very little to build that trust. Let's keep working on this, and let's create a more meaningful role for citizens to play in the oversight of our own police department.

- Kirsten Moe submitted the following statement: I commented last week and listened to your meeting and the solicitors comments on many of the points I raised.
- Tonight I want to note that:
 - Even though the solicitor stated that subpoena power could be granted but would not be enforceable - I still believe we are very much better off having it included- In the meanwhile we might get a police department that is more responsible to the needs of the citizens. When we ask for subpoena power to be specifically stated we are only asking for administrative powers that you already have. If you then wish to ask for judicial assistance upon our review that would be your choice on a particular case if you saw a need.
 - 2- Others have found and provided you with knowledge of 3rd class cities that have review boards. Sorry the solicitor had not.
 - 3- Will you please include that the review board members be sworn to uphold the privacy of any subpoenaed material they receive, this should reduce fears of public exposure when requesting information.
 - 4- As I stated last week, it is important to note that the current bill needs to be changed to reflect that it is to be a "review board" with subpoena power not just a powerless "advisory board." I believe it is important to be 'citizen need oriented' (and you need to be able to enforce that) rather just a explanatory service explaining the needs of the police to the community. It need not be hostile relationship given that we want excellent police service but it does need to be citizen oriented. Thank you for your time and attention.
- Mike Alexander submitted the following statement: I am in favor of a strong, independent Civilian Review Board (CRB) for Harrisburg. There is currently a lack of trust between Harrisburg's citizens, particularly in neighborhoods of people of color, and law enforcement. Chief Carter and Blake Lynch are making admirable attempts to increasing that trust but I believe a CRB will be helpful in furthering their efforts. It will also help in bringing greater accountability and transparency to the Hbg. Police Department. Luckily, we do not need to recreate the wheel. There are 3rd class cities within PA. that have functioning CRBs or are in the exploratory stage, like Harrisburg, in creating one. These include the cities of Chester, Lancaster, York, Altoona, Hazelton, and Erie. In addition, there is a National Organization comprising many if not most of the CRBs throughout the U.S. It is called the National Association for Civilian Oversight of Law Enforcement (Nacole.org). This organization has a lot of model policies and procedure suggestions and has training resources also. Another national organization, Campaign Zero, has a Community Oversight section with recommendations for cities to follow. Resolution 8 as it is currently written is too weak. We need a CRB that is more than a glorified neighborhood watch group or that only reports and reviews citizen complaints. There are a number of models of CRBs being utilized by communities across the state and the nation.

- I believe Harrisburg's CRB must be an independent body, with their own funding, and investigative powers. How extensive the investigative function is will depend on the type of CRB Harrisburg will develop. Harrisburg's CRB must have some "teeth" so that they can address issues like police misconduct, excessive use of force and suspected bias within HPD. Resolution 8 must add administrative subpoena power to the powers of Harrisburg's Citizen Review Board. A confidentiality clause also must be added so that people who register complaints and those who are accused will feel safe from retribution while the investigative process moves forward. A confidentiality clause will also protect people's privacy. There are also issues with Resolution 8's discussion of the members of the CRB. How will the members be selected, and what kind of knowledge and skills the CRB is looking for needs to be revisited. How will the 7 proposed members be selected? Shouldn't community members themselves have a greater voice in who represents them? How will the need for cultural competence skills and bi-lingual skills be taken into account? What about the need of understanding mental health issues and trauma? This segways into another area that will need to be addressed as we move forward. What type and how much training will be provided to the CRB members? Who will pay for this training and how often will it be required? Again, we don't need to create this from scratch. There are over 200 Citizen Review Boards across the country. Many of them, I am sure would gladly share their experiences with us. Nacole.org also has many resources and trainings for new Citizen Review Boards and their members. There are two other issues I would like for you all to consider. I believe that Harrisburg's Citizens Review Boards' work, investigations, reports and recommendations must be absolutely transparent. Citizens and law enforcement officials themselves must be clearly aware of the policies, processes and structure of the CRB. There must be no hint of misunderstandings that lead to breaches of trust and hurts the valuable service Harrisburg's CRB will do for us all. Finally, I would like to talk about the budget for this proposed entity. If you give the CRB, independent investigative powers, as I and many others are advocating for, it will require a viable funding stream. Where will the money come from? How will the CRB be funded? Who will administer the day to day needs of the CRB? Will an administrator be needed? If so, how many hours and what will they be paid? It would be a shame to create a wonderful CRB only to find that there is not enough resources to sustain it. Ausha, said last night, that she wants to hold at least two more town halls in July regarding the formation of a Hbg. CRB. I strongly urge you all to read and listen to all the public comments before voting on any resolution. It is better to take your time and get it right rather than voting hastily and finding the work product isn't what we wanted or needed.
- Kim Hurst submitted a comment about her displeasure with the fireworks in the city and she asked what the city is doing about this issue.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

- Upon motion by President Williams, with no objections, the Legislative Session Minutes of June 23, 2020 were deemed approved.

REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

- Chair Williams reported on the Work Session of June 30, 2020.
- Chair Allatt reported on the Work Session of June 30, 2020.
- Chair Green reported on the Work Session of June 30, 2020.
- Chair Majors reported on the Work Session of June 30, 2020 and July 7, 2020.
- Chair Bowers reported on the Work Session of July 7, 2020.

ORDINANCES FOR FIRST READING

- **The City Clerk read Bill 9-2020 into record** - An Ordinance amending Chapter 3-343 of the Codified Ordinances, Noise Control and Abatement, modernizing noise control standards and enforcement, enhancing penalties, providing for administrative review and appeal, and adopting technical conforming amendments.
- **President Williams removed this from the agenda.**

ORDINANCES FOR AMENDMENT

- There were none.

ORDINANCES FOR FINAL PASSAGE

- There were none.

RESOLUTIONS

- **The City Clerk read Resolution 40-2020 into record-** A Resolution appointing Mr. Leland Nelson to serve on the Environmental Advisory Council (EAC).
- President Williams called for the vote and this passed 7-0.
- **The City Clerk read Resolution 48-2020 into record-** A Resolution appointing Mr. Richard Martinez to serve on the Environmental Advisory Council (EAC).
- President Williams called for the vote and this passed 7-0.
- **The City Clerk read Resolution 49-2020 into record** - A Resolution approving the Third Proposed 2020 Budget Reallocation.
- Chair Allatt made a motion, seconded by Ms. Daniels to add appendix C to the Resolution adding \$96, 896.00 out of Municipal Benefit fund for YMCA Summer Program funds.
- President Williams called for the vote and this failed 1-6, with Ms. Daniels voting in favor.
- President Williams called for the vote on the Resolution as is and this passed 7-0.
- **The City Clerk read Resolution 50-2020 into record** - A Resolution approving the disposition of surplus equipment which has exhausted its useful life for City operations by the Bureau of Fleet Management.
- President Williams called for the vote and this passed 7-0.
- **The City Clerk read Resolution 52-2020 into record** - A Resolution authorizing the City of Harrisburg to negotiate and enter into a reimbursement agreement with Capital Region Water to fund the installation and construction of ADA compliant curb ramps, curb extensions, and other streetscape improvements as part of Capital Region Water's South Allison Hill Green Stormwater Infrastructure Project.
- President Williams called for the vote and this passed 7-0.
- **The City Clerk read Resolution 53-2020 into record-** A Resolution authorizing the City of Harrisburg to negotiate and enter into an intergovernmental cooperation agreement with the Township of Swatara for the purpose of allowing the City to use a compost facility owned and operated by the Township of Swatara.
- President Williams called for the vote and this passed 7-0.
- **The City Clerk read Resolution 54-2020 into record** - A Resolution authorizing the submission of a grant application to the National Endowment for the Arts' Our Town grant program for up to one hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000.00) to fund the installation of murals at the end of Derry Street in Allison Hill in partnership with Sprocket Mural Works and Tri County Community Action.
- President Williams called for the vote and this passed 7-0.

- **The City Clerk read Resolution 55-2020 into record** - A Resolution authorizing the submission of a Redevelopment Assistance Capital Program grant application of up to nine million dollars (\$9,000,000.00) to fund renovations of the Martin Luther King Jr. Government Center located at 10 N. Second Street, Harrisburg, PA 17101.
- President Williams called for the vote and this passed 7-0.
- **The City Clerk read Resolution 56-2020 into record** - A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Harrisburg to ratify and confirm the provisions of Executive Order No. 3 of 2020 that provides for an application for impacted businesses for a one-time credit on commercial solid waste disposal and collection accounts, issued in conformity with the Mayoral Declaration of Public Health COVID-19 Disaster Emergency.
- President Williams called for the vote and this passed 7-0.
- **The City Clerk read Resolution 57-2020 into record** - A Resolution authorizing the City of Harrisburg to use two hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00) of CDBG money to fund the Chutes and Ladders playground project and confirming the City's compliance with applicable laws and regulations.
- Mr. Allatt made a motion, seconded by Mr. Madsen to suspend the rules to discuss Resolutions: 57, 58 and 60.
- There was a discussion of Resolutions 57, 58 & 60 for consideration of votes.
- President Williams went back into session.
- President Williams called for the vote and this passed 7-0.
- **The City Clerk read Resolution 58-2020 into record** - A Resolution authorizing a payment of six thousand three hundred and seventy-five dollars (\$6,375.00) to YAG Properties, LLC for work performed between January 2, 2020 and March 6, 2020.
- President Williams called for the vote and this passed 6-0. Ms. Daniels was absent for the remainder of the meeting.
- **The City Clerk read Resolution 59-2020 into record** - A Resolution authorizing the City of Harrisburg to negotiate, enter into, and establish a pilot grant program with the Camp Curtin YMCA to provide education, training, and summer employment for youth within the City.
- President Williams removed this from the agenda.
- **The City Clerk read Resolution 60-2020 into record** - A Resolution authorizing the City to distribute additional federal money received through the CARES Act to entities which received subrecipient funding pursuant to the City's fiscal year 2019 CDBG and ESG awards, and to negotiate and enter into agreements necessary to effectuate the distribution of such CARES Act funding.
- Ms. Bowers made a motion, seconded by Mr. Madsen to make the following amendments after the 6th Whereas: Whereas the Department of Building and Housing Development is further authorized to establish a public process to select future subrecipients and to enter into such agreements with them:
- President Williams called for the vote on the amendment and this amendment was approved 6-0.
- President Williams called for the vote and this passed 6-0. Ms. Daniels was absent for the remainder of the meeting.

OLD BUSINESS

- Ms. Bowers asked for the protocol for reporting fireworks.
- Mayor Papenfuss said residents can call 311 or go to the city's website harrisburgpa.gov/fireworks.

- Ms. Bowers asked how long does the Mayor plan to conduct facebook live conversations.
- Mayor Papenfuse said that he has no intention of stopping the facebook live conversations Fridays at noon.

NEW BUSINESS

- Ms. Williams announced that you are still able to submit comments to City Council over hiatus by e-mailing publiccomment@harrisburgpa.gov. City Council will be returning from hiatus on August 25, 2020. President Williams wished everyone a safe summer recess.

ADJOURNMENT

-