# q1VILLAGE OF PLEASANT PRAIRIE PLEASANT PRAIRIE VILLAGE BOARD PLEASANT PRAIRIE WATER UTILITY PLEASANT PRAIRIE SEWER UTILITY

9915 - 39th Avenue Pleasant Prairie, WI March 2, 2015 6:00 p.m.

A regular meeting of the Pleasant Prairie Village Board was held on Monday, March 2, 2015. Meeting called to order at 6:00 p.m. Present were Village Board members John Steinbrink, Kris Keckler, Steve Kumorkiewicz; and Mike Serpe. Clyde Allen was absent. Also present were Michael Pollocoff, Village Administrator; Tom Shircel, Assistant Administrator; Jean Werbie-Harris, Community Development Director; Kathy Goessl, Finance Director; Dave Smetana, Police Chief; Doug McElmury, Fire & Rescue Chief; Matt Fineour, Village Engineer; John Steinbrink Jr., Public Works Director; Dan Honore, IT Director; Sandro Perez, Inspection Superintendent; and Jane M. Romanowski, Village Clerk. Five citizens attended the meeting.

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 3. ROLL CALL
- 4. MINUTES OF MEETING FEBRUARY 2, 2015

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Motion to approve.

Kris Keckler:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Steve, second by Kris. Any additions, corrections?

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY 2, 2014 VILLAGE BOARD MEETING AS PRESENTED IN THEIR WRITTEN FORM; SECONDED BY KECKLER; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

## 5. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Jane Romanowski:

No signups tonight, Mr. President.

John Steinbrink:

Anybody wishing to speak under citizens' comments?

#### **6. ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT** – None.

# 7. NEW BUSINESS

# A. Consider Resolution #15-06 of Appreciation and Recognition to Michael Barnes for his years of service to the Village.

# Mike Pollocoff:

Michael Barnes has already had his last day. But he's still here, he's coming back, I don't know why. This is resolution of appreciation and recognition to Michael Barnes for his years of services to the Village of Pleasant Prairie.

Whereas, Lieutenant Michael A. Barnes retired from the Village of Pleasant Prairie Fire & Rescue Department on February 27, 2015, after nearly twenty years of dedicated service; and whereas, Michael A. Barnes began his career with the Village Fire & Rescue Department on September 30, 1995 as a state certified Firefighter I and Emergency Medical Technician (EMT) DA; and whereas, Michael A. Barnes earned several subsequent state certifications, including EMT Paramedic, Firefighter II, Fire Instructor, Fire Inspector, and Officer I, before being promoted to Lieutenant in the year 2000; and whereas, Michael Barnes pursued further state certifications as Driver/Operator for both Pumper and Aerial trucks and Incident Safety Officer; and whereas, Lieutenant Barnes proceeded to earn an Associate of Applied Science degree in Fire Science and a Bachelor of Science degree in Fire Service Management, in addition to completing the Company Officer Leadership Academy; and whereas, the Village of Pleasant Prairie would like to acknowledge and sincerely thank Lieutenant Michael A. Barnes for his many years of service to the Village and recognize him for his commitment to keeping our community safe throughout his employment.

Now, therefore be it resolved, that the Village of Pleasant Prairie does hereby extend to Michael A. Barnes our most sincere respect and appreciation for his dedicated service to the Village of Pleasant Prairie, our congratulations on his well-earned retirement, and our best wishes to him for continued success, happiness, and good health in the years to come for consideration tonight.

# John Steinbrink:

Michael, this is your lucky day. It's kind of a sad one for the Village to see you leave us now. I thought you had a lot more years left, but it just kind of snuck in there and fooled us with all those years. But, Mike, if you want to come up we have a plaque of recognition.

# Michael Serpe:

You want to approve the resolution first?

# Kris Keckler:

Second.

Mike Pollocoff:

We need a motion to adopt the resolution.

Michael Serpe:

Yes.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Kris. Any comment or question?

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #15-06 OF APPRECIATION AND RECOGNITION TO MICHAEL BARNES FOR HIS YEARS OF SERVICE TO THE VILLAGE; SECONDED BY KECKLER; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

Mike Pollocoff:

Come on up here, Mr. Barnes. As nice as this plaque is it doesn't begin to speak to how we feel about the quality of service and the dedication you've done to the department just like we read in the resolution. You really pulled your weight here, and you're a first class individual. Like I said the other night, if my son was a fire fighter I'd want you to be his lieutenant.

#### Michael Barnes:

Thank you. I really appreciate that.

B. Consider Resolution #15-07 of Appreciation and Recognition to Jeffrey Huff for his years of service to the Village.

# Mike Pollocoff:

Next we have Resolution 15-07. And this is a resolution of appreciation and recognition to Jeffrey L. Huff for his years, and I underlined years of service to the Village of Pleasant Prairie.

Whereas, Jeffrey Huff retired from the Village of Pleasant Prairie Fire & Rescue Department on January 31, 2015, after nearly forty years of dedicated service; and whereas, Jeffrey Huff became a member of the Village Fire & Rescue Department on June 8, 1975 as a Paid-on-Call Firefighter - Fire Driver; and whereas, Jeffrey Huff earned several subsequent certifications, including Emergency Medical Technician - Basic, Rescue and Fire Lieutenant, Firefighter I, Rope Rescue, Driver/Operator for both Pumper and Aerial trucks, and Dive Team ERD Tender Technician; and whereas, Jeffrey Huff also completed 185 hours of Structural Burn Training; and whereas, the Village of Pleasant Prairie would like to acknowledge and sincerely thank Jeffrey . Huff for his

many years of service to the Village of Pleasant Prairie and recognize him for his commitment to keeping our community safe throughout his employment.

Now, therefore be it resolved, that the Village of Pleasant Prairie does hereby extend to Jeffrey L. Huff our sincere respect and appreciation for his dedicated service to the Village of Pleasant Prairie, our congratulations on his well-earned retirement, and our best wishes to him for continued success, happiness, and good health in the years to come. For consideration this second day of March, 2015.

## Steve Kumorkiewicz:

I move to adopt Resolution 15-08.

# Michael Serpe:

15-07 and I'll second that.

## John Steinbrink:

Motion by Steve, second by Mike. Any discussion? Jeff's one of those long timers, too. And at the fire dinner this year the Chief couldn't say enough about these two guys and the job they've done over the years and what they've done for the Village and what they've given to the Village. I think we're very fortunate to have these two gentlemen serving the Village as many years as they have. And it's definitely going to be a loss to the Village. We have those new young kids coming in, but they just don't seem to have that look around the edges you two guys have. Maybe with a little training and a little time they'll whip into shape. But we're proud of our department, the caliber of all our members. And we're sorry to see both of you leaving. We had a motion and a second.

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO ADOPT Resolution #15-07 of Appreciation and Recognition to Jeffrey Huff for his years of service to the Village; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

# Steve Kumorkiewicz:

You know, Jeff, when you started I was already working for the Village for a year, 1975.

# Jeff Huff:

I want to thank the Board and the members of the department. But the department couldn't be what it is without the Board's support. And over the years you guys have been great doing that. I really appreciate you helping the department to move forward as it is with personnel and equipment, whatever it was. There are other departments in other locations that have problems with that. At least you're listening to the problems, and I really appreciated that over the years. So thank you guys very much.

Michael Serpe:

Thank you.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Thank you, Jeff. Good luck.

John Steinbrink:

It's always happy to recognize gentlemen in the Village for what they do, but it's also sad when they leave us. I'm not too sure what these two guys are going to be up to in the future. Jeff is the one we worry about. You're okay, Mike.

C. Receive Plan Commission recommendation and consider Ordinance #15-08 approving a Zoning Text Amendment to clarify the definition for Household pets.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. President and members of the Board, the request you have before you this evening is zoning text amendment ordinance 15-08, and this is to clarify the definition for household pets in Section 420-152 of the zoning ordinance. On January 12, 2015, the Board had adopted Resolution 15-01 to initiate amendments to the Village zoning ordinance to clarify that the only type of livestock allowed as a household pet would be considered rabbits, and all other animals such as fowl, poultry, goats, pot bellied pigs, exotic snakes, large reptiles and other exotic animals not commonly found in residences are not considered as household pets.

So the definition of household pets is proposed to be read as follows: As shown on the slide there's just a few modifications or changes to the existing definition. And that is household pets shall not include livestock except for rabbits. In addition household pets shall not include fowl, poultry, goats, pot bellied pigs, exotic snakes, large reptiles and other exotic animals not commonly found in residences as determined by the Village Zoning Administrator. This is a matter that was before the Village Plan Commission at their last meeting. And we are recommending approval of the zoning text amendment.

Just as a little bit of history, because of the way the words in the sentences were structured prior to this there was some confusion. And at the direction of the Police Chief we took another look at the definition and rewrote it to make sure it was clear enough as to what would be considered a household pet. So we're not actually changing the definition other than changing it to make it more clear.

Michael Serpe:

What's the penalty, Jean, for a violation?

Jean Werbie-Harris:

It's no different than any other zoning ordinance violation. Typically the first thing that we'll do is we'll inspect the property. We'll send out someone to take a look at it, speak with the property owner, send a letter. If they choose not to comply the cost for a violation is \$691 for the first ticket.

Kris Keckler:

Are iguanas and other reptiles, where's the line as far as what's considered large verus just a pet [inaudible].

Jean Werbie-Harris:

My interpretation is you had a small iguana or something like that I would not consider that to be a large reptile. An alligator would be a large reptile. Something that fits in your bathtub from end to end that would be a large reptile. If it's in a small tank in your house that wouldn't.

Kris Keckler:

Okay, thanks.

Michael Serpe:

I move approval of 15-08.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve for adoption of Ordinance 15-08. Further discussion?

SERPE MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE PLAN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION AND ADOPT ORDINANCE #15-08 APPROVING A ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT TO CLARIFY THE DEFINITION FOR HOUSEHOLD PETS; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

D. Receive Plan Commission recommendation and consider Ordinance #15-09 approving a Zoning Text Amendment to clarify what items may be modified and included in a specific PUD Ordinance.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. President and members of the Board, we're requesting approval of zoning text amendment Ordinance 15-09, and this is to Section 420-137 C and E of the Planned Unit Development Overlay District within the zoning ordinance.

On January 26, 2015, the Village Board adopted Resolution 15-05 to initiate some amendments to the Village zoning ordinance to re-evaluate the Planned Unit Development Overlay District regulations in order to clarify that dimensional and design standards may be modified by PUD, but principle accessory or conditional uses only specified in the underlying district would be allowed.

So as you can on the screen and in your information paragraph C is being modified so that principle accessory and conditional uses permitted in a Planned Unit Development Overlay District shall conform to the uses being permitted in the underlying basic use district except that the PUD Overlay District may prohibit certain permitted principle accessory or conditional uses specified in the underlying district as determined by the Village Board.

And then paragraph E is also being modified. And this is specifically the paragraph regarding the area requirements and modifications that would be allowed. The PUD Overlay District may also modify with the approval of the Village Board dimensional requirements including but not limited to setbacks, structure height, lot width and area requirements of the underlying basic zoning district. In addition, the PUD Overlay District may also modify with approval of the Village Board other sections of the zoning ordinance including but not limited to traffic, parking and access requirements and sign requirements.

This was a matter that was before the Village Plan Commission at their last meeting. The staff and the Plan Commission recommend approval as presented.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Make a motion to approve zoning text amendment 15-09.

Kris Keckler:

Second.

Michael Serpe:

I have a question. Aren't some of these things covered by the Board of Appeals, Jean?

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Well, two different things. A PUD, again, is an opportunity for the Village Plan Commission and the Board to grant certain variations to a particular property prior to its construction. A variance is different in that it's very unique specifically to that property, but it's generally whenever there's not a planned unit development or unified business development or some other type of

modification. Again, to grant a variance we need to demonstrate hardship. And a PUD we don't' necessarily need to demonstrate a hardship, but we do have to demonstrate community benefit.

So some of our uses in the Village, maybe there's a property along Highway 50, for example, Cheddar's Restaurant, the ordinance specifically indicated that they need to have so much square footage in the lot that was being created. There needed to be a certain number of parking spaces. The sign could only be of certain size. So, again, a variance would not be appropriate because a hardship would not be created. But we really encourage that particular restaurant use at that location, and so we were willing to work with them in order to grant permissions for a certain of size of sign, the lot a little bit less than two acres but still would be appropriate at that location. So it's the tool we can use from a zoning and planning perspective in order to accommodate certain uses in certain locations.

#### Kris Keckler:

I had a question then. Using that same scenario is there any liability for anybody else that was pre-existing to come back and request a change in any type of structure or attachment that they might have whether it's sign dimensions or locations or anything? Does that occur?

## Jean Werbie-Harris:

So typically we won't issue a building permit or a sign permit or a zoning permit if someone is in violation. Typically it needs to be an approved use at that time in order to be allowed for the zoning ordinance. So we don't do too many. I'm not sure if we've done any after the fact PUD ordinances. If it's determined that someone is not meeting the requirements, or they want to do something above and beyond sometimes they request variances, again, they have to demonstrate a practical difficulty or an unnecessary hardship with the variance. And we don't have to have that demonstration as part of PUD. So I don't really envision too many people coming back after the fact to ask for a PUD because we're planning through that development process and through that unified building or business process as part of the initial building of a site.

# Kris Keckler:

Okay, thanks. I just didn't know if that was a potential for increased liability, but thank you.

# Jean Werbie-Harris:

No, I don't see any liability.

#### Steve Kumorkiewicz:

That's why we don't have too many appeals, one of the reasons. We don't have too many appeals to the ordinance.

# Jean Werbie-Harris:

Oh, no we do not.

John Steinbrink:

Okay, we have a motion and a second. If there's no further comment or question?

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE PLAN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION AND CONSIDER ORDINANCE #15-09 APPROVING A ZONING TEXT AMENDMENT TO CLARIFY WHAT ITEMS MAY BE MODIFIED AND INCLUDED IN A SPECIFIC PUD ORDINANCE; SECONDED BY KECKLER; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

E. Receive Plan Commission recommendation and consider a Lot Line Adjustment between the property located at 4122 93rd Street and the property to the north at 9261 42nd Avenue.

Jean Werbie-Harris:

Mr. President and members of the Board, this is a request of Walter and Molly Wiesztort for a lot line adjustment between their property located at 4122 93rd Street and the property to the north located at 9261 42nd Avenue owned by Brian and Angeline Kielar. The properties are located at 4122 93rd Street Lot 1 of CSM 2308. The Tax Parcel Number is 92-4-122-144-0131. And, again, that property is owned by Walter and Molly Wiesztort. And the second property, 9261 42nd Avenue, is identified at Lot 3 of CSM 2308 and further identified as Tax Parcel Number 92-4-122-144-0133.

Specifically, what the property owners are requesting to do is basically take away four feet from one property and add it to the other side or the other adjacent property of four feet. At one point there was a fence, a small picket fence as you can see in the photo that was constructed on the property by the property owner to the south. And the property owner to the north has no objection in cutting off or detaching the four feet of property so that fence can be included as part of the property to the south.

Both properties fall within the R-4, Urban Single Family Residential District. And even with the lot line adjustment they will still have the minimum 15,000 square feet in area and 90 feet of lot width. So instead of actually adjusting or relocating that fence they are just requesting to purchase and detach four feet from one property and add it to the other. Staff recommends approval as does the Plan Commission.

purchase and detach four feet from one property and add it to the other.	Staff recomm
approval as does the Plan Commission.	
Steve Kumorkiewicz:	

So moved.

Michael Serpe:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Steve, second by Mike. Mr. Wiesztort is a chiropractor so I guess an adjustment would be appropriate. Anything else? It's good to see neighbors who get along and do these things. Too often we see disputes over fences and lot lines. Fences make good neighbors.

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE PLAN COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION APPROVING A LOT LINE ADJUSTMENT BETWEEN THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 4122 93RD STREET AND THE PROPERTY TO THE NORTH AT 9261 42ND AVENUE; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

# F. Consider an Award of Contract for the 2015 Paving Program.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Mr. President and members of the Board, sealed bids were opened on February 19th at our Public Works Department for the paving projects for this year broken up into three sections. The first section as you can see up on the board and section one in the middle is Prairie Trails West. Looking at doing an ultra thin overlay which is a thin asphalt layer which we've done in the past. The asphalt itself is about three quarters of an inch thick.

The second section is a mill and relay of Green Tree Estates. That's actually pulverizing all the existing asphalt that's in that subdivision, hauling it off site and putting in five inches of new asphalt. And then the next section up north a little bit, Country Lane Subdivision, doing an ultra thin overlay asphalt, again another three quarters of an inch of asphalt within those roadways. All the milling will be hauled to our south central lift station site where we will make improvements there around the lift station site, the storage shed and the shooting range.

Bids came in. We had received two bids, one from Payne & Dolan for the amount of \$682,256. Second bid from Stark Asphalt for \$726,315. Both bids were under budget, and I would recommend award of the Payne & Dolan for this year's paving program project sections.

Mich		

I would move to concur with the superintendent of public works.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve. Any further discussion?

SERPE MOVED TO AWARD A CONTRACT FOR THE 2015 PAVING PROGRAM TO PAYNE & DOLAN IN THE AMOUNT OF \$682,256; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

G. Consider rejecting the bids received for the Terwall Terrace and Park and Ride Service Lot projects.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Mr. President and members of the Board, sealed bids were opened also on February 19th for the two sections of the park and ride service lot, reconstruction and the sidewalk along Terwall Terrace. Both the bids came in a little bit higher than what we were expecting. So we are looking at going through and rescoping the project and bidding it out at a later date. So at this time I would recommend rejecting both bids, authorizing staff to go through and rescope the project and rebid it at a later date to still be completed this summer, but just at a smaller scale and possibly do some of the work in house with public works crews.

Kris Keckler:

Move to accept administration's recommendation.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Kris, second by Steve. Any further discussion?

KECKLER MOVED TO CONCUR WITH THE RECOMMENDATION TO REJECT THE BIDS RECEIVED FOR THE TERWALL TERRACE AND PARK AND RIDE SERVICE LOT PROJECTS; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

H. Consider a Professional Engineering Services Agreement for the Timber Ridge Water Tower Painting project.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Mr. President and members of the Board, as approved in our 2015 water utility budget is painting of the Big Oaks tower down at the end of Russell Road off of Highway 31. Dixon Engineering has done some work in the past for us. They've done a great job. And they will really be our eyes and ears for the project completing all of our technical specification and contract documents, administering the project, attending and running pre-construction meetings, doing all of the daily inspection services, making sure that the paint is put on the way it's supposed to be put on and all

the surfaces are correct to make sure we have the best product possible. They came in with a price of \$19,871 which was within our budgeted amount for this professional service, and I would recommend approval.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

So moved.

Kris Keckler:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Steve, second by Kris. Further discussion? I'm assuming that lower corner picture was a picture of the rust starting to occur on the tower?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Yes, it is. That's actually on the inside wet of the tank itself. It's not bad yet, it's not causing any structural deficiencies, but it's at the time where it has to be done. We did do an inspection of it in 2013, and then the DNR did review that and mandated us to paint this tower in 2015. So we are under orders to have it painted.

John Steinbrink:

So this is inside and outside?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

That's correct, we'll be doing inside and outside.

Michael Serpe:

I have a question. This one seems to be so much cheaper than the one we did on 165. I know this one is a little bit bigger.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

It's just about the same price. It is a little bit cheaper because at the one on 165 it's a 750,000 gallon storage reservoir. This one is only 200,000 gallons. And so even though it's a little bit taller the ball itself is quite a big smaller as a surface area to be painted and prepped.

Michael Serpe:

I was under the impression that one cost us \$200,000 or something like that, \$400,000? Okay.

John Steinbrink:

No further discussion?

KUMORKIEWICZ MOVE TO APPROVE A PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH DIXON ENGINEERING FOR THE TIMBER RIDGE WATER TOWER PAINTING PROJECT; SECONDED BY KECKLER; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

I. Consider Resolution #15-08 to dispose of surplus vehicles.

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Mr. President and members of the Board, we are looking for authorization to dispose of three vehicles, a 2006 van, 2004 van and a 2000 pickup truck. All of them have exceeded their useful life for the Village. I recommend that we sell them at auction.

Michael Serpe:

So moved.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Steve. Any further discussion? And these are being replaced with vehicles?

John Steinbrink, Jr.:

Right, you are correct. We actually did purchase some at an auction down south earlier this spring.

John Steinbrink:

Motion and a second. Any further discussion?

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT RESOLUTION #15-08 TO DISPOSE OF SURPLUS VEHICLES - A 2006 VAN, A 2004 VAN AND A 2000 PICKUP TRUCK; SECONDED BY KECKLER; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

J. Consider Ordinance #15-10 to amend Chapter 75 of the Municipal Code relating to Officers and Employees.

## Mike Pollocoff:

Mr. President, this ordinance is to amend that part of the code for elected officials. It was done in November of 2012, but in the recodification it didn't show up as part of the document that was recorded. So as such staff is recommending we go back and put in what we had approved in a meeting before but had not been published as far as the code. So the fine points of that Municipal Judge term went from four to two years which was done. The salary was \$20,000 a year to \$420 per session. The requirements for receiving reporting were made a part of the ordinance, as well as official recognizing that the Municipal Court has moved to the Village Hall. And we need to make amendments to Chapter 25 to correspond with that. Like I said we've seen this before.

#### John Steinbrink:

We've been operating under this.

Mike Pollocoff:

Right. It's just to clean it up and get it back to the codifier so it shows up.

Michael Serpe:

So moved.

Kris Keckler:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Kris. Any further discussion?

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

So the Judge is now four years, right?

Jane Romanowski:

This was adopted back in November --

Mike Pollocoff:

Two years. If the Board was going to change that they would have to wait until the next election.

John Steinbrink:

Any further discussion?

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE #15-10 TO AMEND CHAPTER 75 OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES; SECONDED BY KECKLER; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

K. Consider Operator License Applications on file.

Jane Romanowski:

Two applications tonight, Emily Ebert and Dustan Eckmann. Both are recommended for approval.

Kris Keckler:

Move to accept applications.

Steve Kumorkiewicz:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Kris, second by Steve for acceptance of the applications. Any discussion on either of these applications?

KECKLER MOVED TO APPROVE THE OPERATOR LICENSE APPLICATIONS FOR EMILY EBERT AND DUSTAN ECKMANN; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

- **8. VILLAGE BOARD COMMENTS** None.
- 9. ADJOURNMENT.

SERPE MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING; SECONDED BY KUMORKIEWICZ; MOTION CARRIED 4-0 AND MEETING ADJOURNED AT 6:35 P.M.