

**VILLAGE OF PLEASANT PRAIRIE
PLEASANT PRAIRIE VILLAGE BOARD
PLEASANT PRAIRIE SEWER UTILITY
PLEASANT PRAIRIE WATER UTILITY
9915 39th Avenue
Pleasant Prairie, WI
April 5, 2010
6:00 p.m.**

A Regular Meeting of the Pleasant Prairie Village Board was held on Monday, April 5, 2010. Meeting called to order at 6:00 p.m. Present were Village Board members John Steinbrink, Monica Yuhas, Clyde Allen and Mike Serpe. Steve Kumorkiewicz was excused. Also present were Kathy Goessl, Finance Director; Mike Spence, Village Engineer; and Jane Romanowski, Village Clerk. One citizen attended the meeting.

1. **CALL TO ORDER**
2. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
3. **ROLL CALL**
4. **MINUTES OF MEETING - MARCH 15, 2010**

YUHAS MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MARCH 15, 2010 VILLAGE BOARD MEETING AS PRESENTED IN THEIR WRITTEN FORM; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

5. **PUBLIC HEARING**

- A. **Consider Class "A" Fermented Malt Beverage License Application for Walgreens, 7520 - 118th Avenue.**

Jane Romanowski:

Mr. President and Board members, Kristin Francour, Agent for Walgreens Co., has submitted a Class A Fermented Malt Beverage License application, as you said, for the Walgreens store located at 7520 118th Avenue. A Class Fermented Malt Beverage License allows for the sale of fermented malt beverages, beer and fermented base beverages, for consumers only in original packages or containers for off premise consumption only. As part of this application, I've attached as you can see operational control information that Walgreens submitted. In my memo I asked you to not pay any attention to a reference to intoxicating liquor. This is basically for a beer license. That's just their standard control mark up there.

The police department check has been completed. Publication, training and residence requirements have been satisfied. Inspections have been completed and my memo is incorrect. The zoning and the inspection departments completed their inspections and there are no violations. I added some information that came in late Thursday afternoon from Chief Paul Guilbert. As you might have read, there was one ground valve that is part of a single fire hydrant on the property that needs to be fixed. He indicated in another e-mail about the course of the

Village Board Meeting
April 5, 2010

inspections and what happened. Then he did submit his inspection form. So you can see how that was completed.

Chief recommends that if the Board approves the license that it would have to be conditioned, that this violation would have to be fixed prior to me issuing the license. So you can grant it tonight conditioned on the fire department being satisfied that all aspects of their inspection have been completed.

Also, the licensing year goes from July 1st to June 30th. And since we're right in the renewal process, it's my recommendation if the Board approves this that it would be granted from the day that it's issued which could be in the next month or two weeks or whenever they get their violation fixed for the fire department through June 30th of 2011. It makes no sense for me to turn around next week and send them renewal forms for them to come before the Board again in a month to renew a license that they just got. So I think that if we prorate the fees from whatever date it's issued through June 30th of this year and then we add the new licensing fee because the inspections are all done, so it's not like we have to go back out and inspect it, so that would be my recommendation. There was one sign up tonight but if you have any questions I'd be happy to answer those first. Again, this is just for a beer license or fermented base malt beverages.

John Steinbrink:

This being a public hearing I open it up to comment or question.

Jane Romanowski:

And the one sign up tonight was Erin Neff.

Erin Neff:

My name is Erin Neff. I'm in-house legal counsel for Walgreens Co. I was charged about a year ago to handle alcohol matters for Walgreens and it's 7,000 plus stores. I have a whole spiel here to talk about our plan for the Pleasant Prairie store if you'd like to hear it. If you'd rather just skip straight to questions we can do that as well.

John Steinbrink:

If there are any high points you could hit those.

Erin Neff:

I may address them.

John Steinbrink:

We just want to know that you're not going to mix alcohol and prescription drugs together.

Village Board Meeting
April 5, 2010

Erin Neff:

We're not going to mix them, no, absolutely not. I know one of the big issues that I've had to deal with for the past year is we're a pharmacy; we're a healthcare company that is selling alcohol. One of the main reasons is that we've had a lot of customer requests for it across the board. I think our customers are looking for a familiar area to shop for alcohol. They want a one stop shop. And also the fact that we are a pharmacy and we deal in regulated products; we have an infrastructure from highly regulated products. We do alcohol, tobacco and pharmacy extremely well. I'll give you an example of that. I'm one of ten attorneys that are dedicated to simply regulatory matters. So all of our policies, training, anything related to alcohol have been completed vetted by our legal department across the board. We meet the State administrators all the time for compliance. We do it pretty well. Let me restate that. We do that very well. Extremely well.

Michael Serpe:

While you're there, and I don't know if it's for you, is there a manager in here as well?

Erin Neff:

Yes, we have Kristin Francour who is actually named on the license. We have another store manager and that is Mr. Shaun King, and then we have Bob Siller who is what we call a community leader, so he kind of speaks for the area as far as Walgreens and the community. All of them are in management positions.

Michael Serpe:

I'm not going to oppose the issuing of the license to Walgreens, but I will ask how many employees are you going to send for server's license?

Shaun Kring:

All the employees.

Michael Serpe:

And how many employees is that?

Shaun Kring:

Twenty five.

Michael Serpe:

So you're going to have 25 licensed employees on premises? I mean you'll have 25 employees licensed at any time that you're eligible to sell beer that there will be a licensed employee?

Village Board Meeting
April 5, 2010

Erin Neff:

Walgreens' specific policies are only employees 21 years and over can sell alcohol. Our POS system is set up where you enter in a number at the register if you're ringing, and it won't allow you to ring the alcohol unless you're 21 years of age. So we manage that from a corporate standpoint. Now, as far as putting employees through the Wisconsin training, all of our employees 100 percent go through Walgreens' training which I think it's outlined in the documents that we submitted with the application. It's about an hour long. It's very in depth and very extensive. But in addition to that we'll have the employees who will be ringing alcohol will take the Wisconsin mandated training.

Michael Serpe:

I misunderstood at first when you said the employees would have to be 21 years old to sell it. But you will have employees that are 18 or 19 years old that will not be able to?

Erin Neff:

Yes.

Michael Serpe:

They can if they were licensed.

Erin Neff:

Right. All employees must take Walgreens' training, all employees no matter the age, whether they object for religious reasons of selling alcohol, all employees must take that training. As far as the Wisconsin mandated training I believe it will be 21 and over, but the managers have discretion if they feel like someone needs it for some reason, but those employees cannot sell alcohol under Walgreens' policy.

Michael Serpe:

What is your policy on checking for proper identification?

Erin Neff:

Sure. Our POS system is set up, number one, you have to be over 21 to ring alcohol. Once alcohol is scanned the POS system is set up to prompt for ID and for date of birth to be entered in off of an ID, so it won't allow the alcohol sale to go through unless that date of birth is entered, and it does ask the employees to ask for an ID. When I talk about Walgreens' training it is absolutely 100 percent our policy that all ID's are checked for individuals who appear to be under the age of 40.

Village Board Meeting
April 5, 2010

Michael Serpe:

Okay, that's good.

John Steinbrink:

Other questions?

Clyde Allen:

I'm not sure if it should be through you, is there an issue with the ground control valve that needs to be repaired before this license can be voted on? Will that be done?

Jane Romanowski:

Can I have your name and address, please?

Shaun Kring:

Shaun Kring, store address is 7520 118th Avenue. The fire department said that possible plow or any maintenance that it might have basically clipped the top of this. It's basically a backup valve for the fire hydrant. So if the fire hydrant is not working this is like a manual way to turn it on and off. And they found this and said you have to So I've already talked to maintenance

Clyde Allen:

So this is not an issue to have this done before the license is granted.

John Steinbrink:

And we need your name and address because this is recorded minutes, and the poor lady transcribing them has no idea who is speaking otherwise, so thank you.

Monica Yuhas:

John, for counsel, how many Walgreens in Kenosha currently have malt beverage licenses?

Erin Neff:

None currently. We're waiting to meet with the aldermen in Kenosha after the election.

Monica Yuhas:

How many Walgreens in the State of Wisconsin currently hold licenses?

Village Board Meeting
April 5, 2010

Erin Neff:

I don't know specifically in the State of Wisconsin. I know our objective is to . . . stores. At this point we have well over . . . I would say a conservative estimate 150.

Monica Yuhas:

And out of those 150 licenses how many tickets have been issued for inappropriate sales?

Erin Neff:

We haven't had a single citation yet in the State of Wisconsin. I will say that we

Monica Yuhas:

And do you feel that by having this license to sell malt beverages that's going to increase your sales as a whole because that's what your customers are looking for?

Erin Neff:

Yes. We are offering it as a convenience item. We are projecting it to take up less than two percent of the actual shelf space in the store, and it will probably make up less than five percent of sales. So I know probably in your mind you have the liquor stores and Walgreens . . . that's not what we're doing with Walgreens as a whole with this new objective . . . especially . . . a very small offering. There are some projected profits in sales but they will not

Monica Yuhas:

And where will this setup be in the Pleasant Prairie store? Do you have any idea as to where the location is going to be for this type of a beverage?

Erin Neff:

If they do it will be two cooler doors versus a . . . so if you've been in a Walgreens store . . . far side of the store across from the photo . . . possibly one or two There will be 10 to 20 cases only again, less than two percent of sales.

John Steinbrink:

Other questions? If not, thank you.

Erin Neff:

Thank you very much.

Village Board Meeting
April 5, 2010

John Steinbrink:

This being a public hearing, anyone else wishing to speak? Anyone else wishing to speak? Anyone else wishing to speak? Hearing none, I'll close the public hearing and open it up to Board comment or question.

Michael Serpe:

John, I would move approval of the Class A Fermented Malt Beverage for Walgreens on Highway 50 subject to the completion of the violation that's presently been noted by the fire department.

Clyde Allen:

I'll second.

John Steinbrink:

And that's with the same terms?

Clyde Allen:

With the same terms of the ground valve plus the partial license to 2011.

John Steinbrink:

We have a motion by Mike, second by Clyde. Further discussion?

SERPE MOVED TO GRANT A CLASS "A" FERMENTED MALT BEVERAGE LICENSE TO WALGREENS CO. FOR THE STORE LOCATION AT 7520 118TH AVENUE FROM THE DATE OF ISSUANCE TO JUNE 30, 2011, SUBJECT TO THE CONDITIONS SET FORTH BY STAFF INCLUDING CORRECTION THE VIOLATIONS NOTED BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT; SECONDED BY ALLEN; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

6. CITIZEN COMMENTS

Bob Babcock:

Bob Babcock, 11336 Lakeshore Drive. There's been quite a controversy here in the area for the last couple of weeks concerning our school superintendent. This was brought to the attention of the newspaper a week and a half ago who said they passed it onto the proper person. And then Tuesday of last week I was up in Wisconsin Rapids, and as I was coming back I was listening to Mark Mellen show and just got the last two minutes of the ranting and raving that he was doing about this, how the School Board is terrible and the whole bit. Also, some local prominent bloggers have had some articles on this.

Village Board Meeting
April 5, 2010

Finally, last Saturday the *Kenosha News* ran a story on it. Basically she claims that she was misquoted and misunderstood and all this and that stuff and no problem. But still to the bloggers and everybody else consider there may be a problem that needs some explanation. I tried to get hold of this newsletter thing that she wrote. The City of Rochester won't even pass it on to me, so there's some coverups or something going on. So my question to the Village Board is would you consider inviting her here for a public hearing question and answer session so we can hear both sides of the story and make our own judgments as to what's going on? Thank you.

John Steinbrink:

Once again this is citizens' comments. We can hear your request but we cannot take any action or offer opinion.

Michael Serpe:

I had a short conversation with Pam Stevens, and as I understand it I think Dr. Hancock will be taking the opportunity to explain her position on the Ebonics when she comes into Kenosha. That's the way I understood it. Last time we invited the School Board here it didn't go so well.

Bob Babcock:

I remember that one. Thank you very much.

Jane Romanowski:

There were no more sign ups.

John Steinbrink:

Okay, anyone else wishing to speak under citizen comments?

7. NEW BUSINESS

A. Consider Resolution #10-07 authorizing the issuance and sale of \$4,400,000 General Obligation Promissory Notes, Series 2010A.

Kathy Goessl:

Mr. President, this borrowing is for two purposes, first of all for our general government. Inside your packets there's a PowerPoint-type presentation, just three slides. The first one just shows the general government and the items that we're borrowing for for the general government. This is all in the budget for 2010, and this is a list of what we're borrowing for for the general government. It's voting equipment, a pumper tanker fire engine, an ambulance, replace the grass truck and then replacement rescue boat motor and trailer for a total of a little over \$1 million. That's the first purpose of this borrowing.

Village Board Meeting
April 5, 2010

The second purpose is, if you turn the page, the Sewer Utility. And the Sewer Utility the major project that we're borrowing for which was presented in the 2010 budget is the Sewer D gravity sanitary sewer and land acquisition for that project which is valued a little bit less than \$3.3 million. Then there are a couple smaller sewer projects and sewer improvements to Graystone properties for a total of a little over \$3.3 million. Those are the two purposes that we're borrowing.

On the next page is some summaries of the borrowing. We went out to market today, and first of all we went to only one rating agency this time, Standard & Poors, and this has become a practice in the marketplace because each time you get a rating from an agency you have to pay to get that rating from them. So we chose Standard & Poors because they have given us the higher rating of the two. During this rating process they maintained their rating at AA. This bond issue today went out to market and sold actually at a premium of a little over \$300,000. The premium is used to pay future interest expenses on the debt. The true interest rate for this debt is 3.52 percent. This interest rate ranges from 3 percent to 4.5 percent over the ten year period of this bond.

General government on their side is a ten year pretty much level debt to be paid off in the ten year period. For the Sewer Utility it's actually also a ten year term but it has a balloon payment of \$2.3 million to be refinanced at the end of that term for another ten years. So I'm looking for a roll call vote on this. And if you have any questions Gene Schulz of Piper Jaffray, our financial advisors, he's also here tonight.

John Steinbrink:

Thank you, Kathy.

Michael Serpe:

What does this put our debt total to with this refinancing?

Kathy Goessl:

I know it's over \$100 million.

Michael Serpe:

That's including the TIF?

Kathy Goessl:

Yes, the TIF is the biggest portion of the debt at \$40 or \$50 million. General government is the smallest amount of our debt in terms of dollar value. I have a report here. Our debt at this point would be \$118 million, and the TID portion is almost \$64 million. Then the Sewer Utility is a little over \$10 million, and the general government is a little less than \$10 million. Then also RecPlex and Water Utility and Solid Waste has some borrowing also.

Village Board Meeting
April 5, 2010

Michael Serpe:

And the taxpayer's biggest concern is general government.

Kathy Goessl:

They're all general obligation debt, but basically the general government one is the one that's covered by the taxpayers levy. TID is by the TID increment and all the other debt is covered through user fees.

Michael Serpe:

I'd move approval of the \$4.4 million.

Monica Yuhas:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Monica. Further comment or question? A roll call vote has been ordered.

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT Resolution #10-07 authorizing the issuance and sale of \$4,400,000 General Obligation Promissory Notes, Series 2010A; SECONDED BY YUHAS; ROLL CALL VOTE – SERPE – YES; ALLEN – YES; YUHAS – YES; STEINBRINK – YES; KUMORKIEWICZ – ABSENT; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

B. Consider a Professional Services Engineering Agreement for Village Green Phase I Infrastructure Improvements.

Mike Spence:

Mr. President and Trustees, this item is for approval of an engineering agreement with Crispell-Snyder for phase 1 infrastructure improvements for Village Green. Just a little bit of background on this, planning for our Village Green started back in 1994, and we've been continuing to work on planning for a Village Green from that time. However, it had slowed because of the current economic recession. In addition, we've looked at the idea of incorporating economically and environmentally sustainable futures to the planning for Village Green to enhance the attractiveness and the long-term value of the Village Green. Because there's no infrastructure currently in the area, we have the opportunity to install certain features in a more cost effective manner, such as we're looking at geothermal technologies for heating as well as snow removal and de-icing. So these are some of the items associated with it.

Also in light of the downturn in the economy, the Village has intensified its focus in looking at other long-term economic development and has pursued a grant and funding for the Southeast

Village Board Meeting
April 5, 2010

Wisconsin Innovation Center. That's the biomedical incubator building which would be located in the Village Green and would be roughly 40,000 square feet. And then to support all this, Village staff requested qualifications from engineering firms to provide professional services to develop detailed plans for the Village Green. Six firms were requested to provide proposals. We interviewed three firms and Crispell-Snyder was the selected firm for a number of reasons.

The contract that you have before you tonight initiates the development of the infrastructure for the Village Green, the design, as well as recommendations for sustainability which is what I talked about earlier and basically provides the initial framework for tying in the proposed business incubator. The first step of the project would be to work on the TID project plan which is really the method of financing the whole project. That effort is \$24,690. And then as the determination of the subsequent economic feasibility becomes evident, the remaining tasks of the project would begin including site assessment, sustainable guidelines, detailed engineering plans and specs. They're all part of this agreement. So you have before you the total agreement. I'm recommending approval of that agreement. As I said, the various steps of the agreement would be authorized as we proceed with the development. With that, I recommend approval.

John Steinbrink:

Mike, does the Village Green plan have a space for like a pharmacy with a beer cave?

Mike Spence:

I'm sure there is definitely commercial area there. We're looking at in the northern end a grocery store to co-anchor.

Michael Serpe:

John, one of the site planners for Walgreens sits on the Plan Commission so I'm sure they're looking at it.

John Steinbrink:

Questions or motion?

Michael Serpe:

Just to follow up, I've been a part of the Village Green committee since its inception and it's going to finally start developing. It's going to be an exciting, exciting project right across the street. The plans for the geothermal sidewalks that are going to be kept melted and dry, just the whole setup is going to be very, very exciting for this area and can't wait to get it going. It's been a long time in coming so it's going to be neat.

Village Board Meeting
April 5, 2010

Clyde Allen:

I have a question regarding on the geothermal, we needed to either take over, and correct me if I'm wrong, if I misstate, take over the pond for Mission Hills either take that from them—

Mike Spence:

Meadowdale Estates?

Clyde Allen:

Yes, Meadowdale, I'm sorry, has there been any progress on that or is it still up in the air as to how that will be done whether they still have it and we use it?

Mike Spence:

That's been identified as one option for providing the energy source for geothermal. However, it's not anticipated to be the only source. We're looking at what they call vertical wells where you would actually dig wells, install them and get the energy from the ground. So there's a number of ways. So that is not necessarily a deal breaker if that doesn't happen. But what I know is that we did meet with the homeowners association and presented the information. To my knowledge there hasn't been any followup.

Clyde Allen:

Alright, so it's still where it was at before?

Mike Spence:

Yes.

John Steinbrink:

And referring to that we actually did test borings over there. A well rig was set up and that created one of the most asked questions of a week period why are we drilling a well over there when we have municipal water.

Mike Spence:

That's correct. Just to expand on that briefly, I don't have the final results in, but right now it is looking pretty good that the type of soils and the basic geotechnical structure down there is very conducive to geothermal heating. I'm cautiously optimistic.

Village Board Meeting
April 5, 2010

John Steinbrink:

Probably 40 years ago plus Hoover Well was promoting that in the area way back, but energy sources were so cheap nobody could compete with the cheap price of fossil fuel. So his endeavor to promote this really kind of went by the wayside until today and now it's catching on big time. We're a little slow getting going here in this part of the country.

Clyde Allen:

With that I'll make a motion to approve.

Michael Serpe:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Mike. Further discussion on this item?

ALLEN MOVED TO APPROVE A Professional Services Engineering Agreement WITH CRISPELL-SNYDER for Village Green Phase I Infrastructure Improvements AS PRESENTED; SECONDED BY SERPE; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

C. Consider Ordinance #10-25 to repeal Chapter 194-6 P. of the Municipal Code relating to a temporary outdoor extension of a liquor license premise.

Jane Romanowski:

Mr. President and Board members, recently the staff discussed this ordinance that has been on the books since June 1990. And this ordinance, like I said, has been on the books a long time, but it sets the regulations and restrictions for a licensed tavern or an establishment to request a premise for an outdoor event, a special event, outside of what their current license holds. So we have licenses that have an indoor area and then they have a little contiguous area that's listed on their license. So this would be for an establishment that would want something like a pig roast or something like that.

So we started looking at this ordinance. And really if you read through it it's quite outdated when you're looking at boundaries of 100 feet from a family dwelling. The Village has grown so much since 1990. And we haven't issued a permit since September of 1996 and that was to the Wooden Nickel for a pig roast. And then the two other ones since I've been here would be the Lagoon and Bohat's for special events and they no longer exist, the buildings are gone.

So while the Board has total discretion whether or not they will allow a premise to be indoors or outdoors and they do that during the licensing process. So while the intent of the ordinance is to permit special events, we feel it could be used in a manner that now could be disruptive to neighborhoods or looked at differently by somebody else, well, it's on the books why I can't use

Village Board Meeting
April 5, 2010

it type of thing, and the Board might be in a position where they might feel they might be boxed in because of the ordinance because of the restrictions that have been in place for so long. So the premise, as you know, is where alcohol can be served, sold, consume or stored, and it's really important to remember that because that premise is listed right on the license. And if they violate it obviously they're in violation of liquor license laws.

So while we were looking at this, it was Mike and Jean and I and Tom, and we talked about different zoning amendments that could be introduced in the next few meetings to help give the Board direction for future licensing matters. But as my memo says, the Board has the total discretion whether to approve or disapprove a premise, and you don't have to go through revocation to do that. You might have premise now, say Uncle Mike's or somewhere, that has an outdoor area and they're close to residential areas that gets really disruptive. You at any time can say enough of that, we're taking that away without revocation. You're not revoking the license. You're just limiting the area that it can be served, sold, stored or consumed.

So we feel this ordinance on the books is somewhat outdated. We feel that there's been a lot of zoning changes since 1990 that help with this. And we talked a little bit more about what direction we want to go to kind of regulate any type of outdoor area. Like I said, we do have some areas right now that have licenses with current areas outside that are on their license, and the police department does a very good job of regulating that and watching that. So we just feel this ordinance at this time should come off the books. Again, the Board has discretion by statutes to take that action either for or not an outdoor area. And we just don't want to see a special event - especially since it hasn't been used for so long, 14 years, that we haven't used it. It's something that we don't need on the books at this time. So my recommendation is that we repeal it and then go through the statutes and zoning, and we have enough in place for the Board to have some direction.

Michael Serpe:

The Board can take an action without the zoning ordinances in place?

Jane Romanowski:

Yes, this is totally liquor licensing laws right now. The zoning is going to be part of it but not for the Plan Commission to determine or something that Jean could come back and say this is what's on the books.

Michael Serpe:

If we repeal this tonight and somebody comes forward next week for an outdoor extension—

Jane Romanowski:

The Board has total discretion, yes.

Village Board Meeting
April 5, 2010

Michael Serpe:

Okay, alright.

Jane Romanowski:

And I might add the police department, fire department, inspection department, there would be a lot of people involved, more than 1990, to make sure that that wouldn't be disruptive or harmful.

Monica Yuhas:

Jane, how many establishments have this as of now?

Jane Romanowski:

Big Oaks obviously, they have the whole golf course. Earl's has the picnic area contiguous to their building, the deck and volleyball courts and horseshoe pits. Famous Dave's has the outdoor covered fenced in patio, well regulated. Gordy's has the little southeast corner here where they have their picnic tables. And Uncle Mike's has an area. I use them as an example contiguous to the building. All these exclude the parking lot obviously. And the Wooden Nickel has a little I think it's 60 by 120 area that they have horseshoe pits. So there are a few in residential areas that are well monitored. But those are the only licenses at this time that have any outdoor area for their licenses premise. And that is only good through June 30th. At any time, they can come back in now, we'll see with the renewals that come back, and they might want to change their premise description. They could ask for a change in the middle of the year and it's still up to the Village Board to make that determination because the renewals will be coming before you as well.

Monica Yuhas:

Okay, thank you.

Michael Serpe:

I'd move approval of Ordinance 10-25 and that would be to repeal Chapter 194-6 P.

Monica Yuhas:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Mike, second by Monica. Further discussion?

SERPE MOVED TO ADOPT ORDINANCE #10-25 TO REPEAL CHAPTER 194-6 P. OF THE MUNICIPAL CODE RELATING TO A TEMPORARY OUTDOOR EXTENSION OF A LIQUOR LICENSE PREMISE; SECONDED BY YUHAS; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

Village Board Meeting
April 5, 2010

D. Consider Bartender License Applications on file.

Clyde Allen:

I make a motion to approve both license applications.

Monica Yuhas:

Second.

John Steinbrink:

Motion by Clyde, second by Monica for approval of both applications presented to us this evening. Any discussion?

ALLEN MOVED TO APPROVE THE BARTENDER LICENSES FOR JAMES FLIRIS AND ALISON MCELMAN; SECONDED BY YUHAS; MOTION CARRIED 4-0.

8. VILLAGE BOARD COMMENTS

Michael Serpe:

The RecPlex therapeutic portion of the RecPlex hosted the requisite Easter Bunny on Saturday. Very well attended. It went very well. The pancakes and sausage were expertly cooked. I was one of the cooks. It was well received and a good time. Again, for a very worthy cause.

9. ADJOURNMENT

ALLEN MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING; SECONDED BY YUHAS; MOTION CARRIED 4-0 AND MEETING ADJOURNED AT 6:40 P.M.